

Grant Proposal Scoring Rubric

Note: This rubric provides a starting point. Fund Advisory Committees are encouraged to add, remove, or modify criteria to better reflect the unique priorities and needs of their local communities.

Each grant proposal should be evaluated using the criteria below. Assign a score from 1 to 5 for each category and include comments as needed.

Scoring Guide:

- 5 Excellent
- 4 Good
- 3 Satisfactory
- 2 Needs Improvement
- 1 Weak or Not Addressed

Criteria	Description	Score (1-5)	Notes
Partnerships	Collaborates with other organizations to strengthen the project.		
Matching Funds	Leverages additional cash or in-kind resources.		
Local Employment	Creates or supports jobs for area residents.		
Length of Impact	Delivers lasting results beyond the grant period.		
Budget Efficiency	Grant covers a substantial portion of the total cost.		
Quality of Life	Enhances overall livability in the community.		

C :: 5 ::	D 1 1 1	<u> </u>
Community Benefit	Reaches a broad or	
	diverse group of	
	community	
	members.	
Beautification	Improves visual	
	appeal or	
	community pride.	
Economic	Advances local	
Development	economy or	
·	business activity.	
Community	Positively promotes	
Marketing	the community to	
	residents or	
	visitors.	
Childcare Access	Improves	
Silliacai e Access	availability or	
	quality of childcare	
	services.	
Housing Support	Develops or	
Housing Support	-	
	maintains safe,	
F ' ' '	affordable housing.	
Environmental	Incorporates eco-	
Sustainability	friendly or	
	sustainable	
	practices.	
Youth Engagement	Benefits	
& Education	children/youth or	
	supports	
	educational efforts.	
Equity & Inclusion	Reaches	
	underserved	
	populations or	
	advances equitable	
	outcomes.	
Organizational	Applicant	
Capacity	demonstrates	
, ,	ability to manage	
	and complete the	
	project.	
Project Readiness	Project is well	
oject reduiriess	planned and ready	
	for implementation.	
	To implementation.	

Total Score: _____ / 85