

2025 (Re-released) HUD CoC NOFO

NWCoC Local Competition

Renewal Project Applicant (Housing Projects PSH, TH, RRH, YHDP)

Please note: Answers will not save if you leave site.

This application form will not be submitted to HUD. The CoC Application Form is used to gather information for our Continuum of Care project review process. Please read this form in its entirety before you start to complete it. See additional instructions on the NWCOC website. Please direct any questions to Barbara at barbaraj@nwmf.org.

Because HUD may issue additional information the NWCoC reserves the ability to add to this application to collect necessary information to complete the consolidated application.

The Scoring Criteria and all referenced policies can be found on the NWCoC website. Use the Scoring Criteria to help guide your responses.

Organization Name

Organization Address Street Address Street Address Line 2 City State / Province Postal / Zip Code

Contact Name

Last Name

ы	irst	N	lar	na

Contact Phone

Please enter a valid phone number.

Contact Email

example@example.com

Organization Director

First Name

Last Name

Organization Director Phone

Please enter a valid phone number.

Organization Director Email

example@example.com

HUD CoC Threshold Eligibility Criteria

Non-scoring

Organization Type

Nonprofit with 501c3 status Local unit of government State government Public Housing Authority Indian Tribe or TDHE

Other

If Other, please describe:

System for Award Management (SAM)

Please upload a screenshot of your registration status from the SAM website.

Is your organization's SAM registration current?
Yes
No
Does your organization have delinquent federal debt?
Yes
No
Is your organization debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government?
Yes
No
Will your organization provide a 25% match for all activities except for leasing?
Yes
No
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
Program participants must participate in the local HMIS, unless a recipient is a victim service provider or legal service provider, in which case these recipients must use a comparable database.
Will this project enter data in HMIS or a comparable database?
Yes
No

Population to be served (check all that apply)

Persons who meet HUD's definition of literally homeless Persons fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence Other

If other, please state:

Geographic location of project, check all that apply.

Entire Northwest CoC region Leech Lake Reservation

White Earth Reservation	
Beltrami County	
Hubbard County	
Clearwater County	
Lake of the Woods County	
Roseau County	
Kittson County	
Marshall County	
Pennington County	
Red Lake County	
Polk County	
Norman County	
Mahnomen County	
Has this program been monitored by HUD in the past 5 years? Yes No	
NO	
Does your organization have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings that are not in the proce of being resolved?	SS
Yes	
No	
Discuss any findings from monitoring and the actions your organization are taking to address those findings (250 word limit).	
0/250	
Project Information	
Name of Project	
If other, please define	

Please provide a short project description that you are requesting funding for (250 word limit).				
0/250				
Indicate the amount of funding you are requesting for this project. Please note, renewal grant amounts must match the current HUD Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW).				

Please indicate the HUD renewal grant number from GIW.

Indicate if the project is applying as a:

Renewal project
Consolidated project
Transition project
Expansion project
New CoC Bonus project
New Domestic Violence project
YHDP Renewal project
YHDP Expansion project

Program Type Detail (Check one):

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
Permanent Housing/Rapid Re-Housing (PH-RRH)
Transitional Housing/Rapid Re-Housing (TH-RRH)
Transitional Housing (TH)
Supportive Services Only (Housing Navigation or Coordinated Entry) (SSO)
HMIS
Other

If other, please define:

CoC Coordination & Engagement

(36 points)

Applicant Performance

Supporting Narrative

0/300

Coordinates with federal, state, local, private and other organizations for project implementation (2 points):

Does not coordinate with federal, state, local, private and other organizations.

Does coordinate with federal, state, local, private and other organizations.

Does coordinate with federal, state, local, private and other organizations and is evidenced by supporting documentation.

Availability of treatment and recovery services (4 points):

Does not provide or have access to 24/7 substance use services.

Has one or is implementing one or more of the following: available treatment onsite, dedicated beds for substance treatment, access to 24/7 detox, formal partnerships with CCBHC, sober housing, etc., or has dedicated a high % of funding for services.

Has two or is implementing two or more of the following: available treatment onsite, dedicated beds for substance treatment, access to 24/7 detox, formal partnerships with CCBHC, sober housing, etc., or has dedicated a high % of funding for services. Must show supportive documentation

Collaboration Related to Children and Youth (4 points):

Applicant does not comply with NWCoC policy

Applicant complies with NWCoC policy and demonstrates efforts to improve relations and communications with local schools.

Applicant demonstrates a clear plan for collaboration with the school district or McKinney Vento Liaison. This includes MOUs, Letter of Support, or formal partnership agreement

Supporting narrative

Participation requirements for services (6 points).

Applicant does not require program participant to take part in supportive services.

Applicant highly encourgages program participant to take part in supportive services.

Applicant requires program participant to take part in supportive services.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Addresses the needs of victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking (2 points):

Applicant does not have a safety plan

Applicant has a safety plan

The applicant has a safety plan and is ready to implement the plan strategies and has resources available to serve individuals experiencing domestic violence.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Public Housing Authorities (PHA)/Housing & Redevelopment Authority (HRA) Partnerships (2 points):

Agency does not coordinate with PHA

Agency coordinates with PHA but it is unclear how clients access vouchers

Agency has a clear and executable plan to ensure clients have access to Housing Choice Vouchers and other subsidized housing options and is evidenced by supporting documentation.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Coordinates with and assists in state or local discharge planning efforts (2 points):

Applicant does not coordinate with state or local planning efforts to prevent homelessness amoung people transitioning from public systems.

Applicant does coordinate with state or local planning efforts to prevent homelessness amoung people transitioning from public systems.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Has implemented Street Outreach procedures (6 points):

Applicant does not implement street outreach procedures.

Applicant does implement street outreach procedures by engaging with partner organizations to increase housing and service engagement.

Applicant does implement street outreach procedures by engaging with partner organizations to increase housing and service engagement. Applicant can show an increasing number of people exit to a positive destination.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Leveraging housing and healthcare resources(4 points):

Applicant does not leverages housing and healthcare resources provided by private orgs, state/local, faith based, or PHAS

Applicant leverages housing and healthcare resources provided by private orgs, state/local, faith based, or PHAS

Supporting narrative

0/300

System Performance Measures

(50 points)

Successful permanent housing placement, (2 points), information pulled from ELoccs:

Applicant can demonstrate that the rate of successful exit from ES, TH, and RRH is under 15%. Applicant can demonstrate that the rate of successful exit from ES, TH, and RRH is 15%- 49% Applicant can demonstrate that the rate of successful exit from ES, TH, and RRH is at 50% or more.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Successful permanent housing placement to unsubsidized housing, TH/RRH/PSH (2 points), information pulled from ELoccs:

Applicant can demonstrate that less than 10% of program participant exits from TH/RRH/PSH collectively are to unsubsidized housing.

Applicant can demonstrate that 11-19% of program participant exits from TH/RRH/PSH collectively are to unsubsidized housing.

Applicant can demonstrate that 20% or more of program participant exits from TH/RRH/PSH collectively are to unsubsidized housing.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Reduces the extent to which individuals and families return to homelessness in 24 months (4 points), information pulled from ELoccs:

Applicant can demonstrate the rate at which persons who exited to permanent housing destinations experienced additional homelessness is less than 16% over 24 months.

Applicant can demonstrate the rate at which persons who exited to permanent housing destinations experienced additional homelessness is between 9-15% over 24 months.

Applicant can demonstrate the rate at which persons who exited to permanent housing destinations experienced additional homelessness is 8% or less over 24 months.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Reduces the extent to which individuals and families return to homelessness in 12 months(4 points), information pulled from ELoccs:

Applicant can demonstrate the rate at which persons who exited to permanent housing destinations experienced additional homelessness is less than 11% over 12 months.

Applicant can demonstrate the rate at which persons who exited to permanent housing destinations experienced additional homelessness is between 8-10% over 12 months.

Applicant can demonstrate the rate at which persons who exited to permanent housing destinations experienced additional homelessness is 7% or less over 12 months.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Increases in earned income for program participants from employment (4 points), information pulled from ELoccs:

Applicant can demonstrate that the percentage of program participants who had an increase in income from employment was at least 10%.

Applicant can demonstrate that the percentage of program participants who had an increase in income from employment was between 11-19%.

Applicant can demonstrate that the percentage of program participants who had an increase in income from employment was 20% or higher.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Increases in earned income for program participants leaving programs from employment (4 points), information pulled from ELoccs:

Applicant can demonstrate that the percentage of program participants leaving a program who had an increase in income from employment was 10%.

Applicant can demonstrate that the percentage of program participants leaving a program who had an increase in income from employment was between 11-24%

Applicant can demonstrate that the percentage of program participants leaving a program who had an increase in income from employment was 25% or higher.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Quarterly drawdowns (4 points):

Drawdowns not made quarterly Drawdowns made quarterly

Supporting narrative

0/300

Budget expenditure (8 points):

Spent 89% or less of grant award Spent 90-94% of grant award Spent 95% or more of grant award

Supporting narrative

0/300

Bed utilization (4 points), information found in project APR:

Less than 85% of project beds utilized. 85-90% of project beds utilized More than 90% of project beds utilized.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Plan to increase earned or unearned income, and/or education, employment opportunities (4 points):

Applicant does not have a plan for increasing income

Applicant identifies some best practices to connect clients to educational and income opportunities. Applicant demonstrates implementation of strength-based plan to address the challenge of meeting educational, employment or income needs.

Supporting narrative

0/300

NWCoC Specific Questions

(14 points)

Adapting programs to meet emerging needs/innovation (4 points).

Project does not have evidence of meeting emerging needs

Supporting narrative

0/300

Collaboration with mainstream and key support services (4 points).

Does not collaborate with mainstream and key support services

Uses best practices for connecting participants to mainstream resources

Applicant demonstrates a clear plan for collaboration with the mainstream resources, including MOUs, Letter of Support, or formal partnership agreement.

Supporting narrative

0/300

Agency staff participation in CoC Committees (2 points).

No staff participating on CoC Committees in past year Agency staff participated in at least one CoC committee Agency staff participated in more than one CoC committee

Supporting narrative

0/300

Participate in Case Conferencing (4 points).

Does not participate. 0-25% participating attendance

Participates in Case Conferencing, but concerns around attendance or CES policy/procedure alignment. 26-75% attendance.

Participates, collaborates and communicates with PLM at Case Conferencing. 76-100% attendance

Supporting narrative				
0/300				
Bonus				
New Policy Initiative Prefernece Points (2 points)				
Verification of Immigration Status				
Will voluntarily verify immigration status using SAVE directly or in coordination with state, local government.				
Will not voluntarily verify immigration status using SAVE directly or in coordination with state, local government.				
Thank you for taking the time to apply for the FY25 HUD CoC funding.				
Supporting Narrative				
0/300				
Supporting narrative				
0/300				

Coordination with Veteran Organizations (4 points):

Applicant does not partner with Veteran Service Organizations

Applicant does partner with Veteran Service Organizations, making referrals, identifying and filling service gaps.