

## Building Better Lives Grant Evaluation Form

Applicant Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Today's Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### Scoring Criteria

#### Purpose Statement (5 points)

Criteria for Review:

- The purpose statement is clear and concise.
- The request is directly connected to the proposed project or program.

Score (0-5): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

#### Community Context (15 points)

Criteria for Review:

- The applicant explains why the project is needed.
- The description shows an understanding of local conditions, needs, and service gaps.
- Information is provided on how the need was identified (e.g., data, feedback, assessment).

Score (0-15): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

**Activities + Partnerships (25 points)**

Criteria for Review:

- Partnerships are clearly identified and documented (e.g., MOUs, agreements).
- Collaboration roles and responsibilities are defined.
- The project timeline is realistic and aligned with the proposed activities and budget.
- Activities are directly connected to the identified need.

Score (0-25): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

**Participation + Access (25 points)**

Criteria for Review:

- The project includes input from the people it is designed to serve.
- Plans encourage meaningful involvement and participation.
- Services are accessible (e.g., transportation, affordability, language).
- Efforts are made to reduce barriers that may prevent participation.

Score (0-25): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

**Outcomes + Impact (15 points)**

Criteria for Review:

- Outcomes align with the stated activities and context.
- The proposal describes how the work will contribute to long-term community benefits or capacity.

- The approach is practical and results-focused, with clear and realistic outcomes.
- The model has potential to be expanded or repeated in other settings if successful.

Score (0-15): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

**Budget (15 points)**

Criteria for Review:

- Line items are clearly connected to the proposed project activities
- Other funding, in-kind support, or resources are identified where applicable.
- Right-sized to the scope of the project and BBLG program. Shows how these funds would meaningfully support progress for community-based work.

Score (0-15): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100 possible points

**Overall Comments & Recommendation**

- Strongly Recommend Funding
- Recommend Funding
- Consider with Revisions
- Do Not Recommend

**Summary Notes / Considerations:**